## Mercapto thiadiazole-based sensors with high selectivity and sensitivity for Hg<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous solution You-Ming Zhang, Ming-Xia Liu, Qi Lin, Qiao Li and Tai-Bao Wei\*

Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Gansu Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, P. R. China

Two simple mercapto thiadiazole-based sensors have been synthesised by a convenient method and exhibit excellent sensitivity and selectivity for  $Hg^{2+}$  in DMSO/ $H_2O$  (1:1, v/v) aqueous solution. The sensors react with  $Hg^{2+}$  to form stable complexes and the association constants, Ka, are  $4.4 \times 10^4 M^{-1}$  and  $1.0 \times 10^3 M^{-1}$ , respectively. Furthermore, the detection limit of one sensor towards  $Hg^{2+}$  is  $8.0 \times 10^{-7} M$ .

**Keywords:** mercury ion sensors, mercapto thiadiazole, cation recognition

The development of selective chemosensors for the detection of mercury ion is of particular interest, because mercury is considered one of the most toxic and dangerous heavy metal elements.<sup>1-4</sup> The design and synthesis of efficient sensors to selectively recognise Hg<sup>2+</sup> is a fundamental goal for organic and analytical chemists.5,6 Much effort has gone into the development of sensing devices for Hg2+, involving chromogenic7,8 and fluorescent chemosensors9,10, and sensors based on electrochemical devices.11 However, several significant challenges remain in these systems for practical application, such as cross-sensitivities toward other metal ions, low water solubility, and especially complicated and expensive synthesis. In view of this, and as a part of our research interest in molecular recognition,12-14 we have attempted to obtain some easy-tosynthesise, highly selective and sensitive Hg2+ sensors. Our strategy for the design of Hg<sup>2+</sup> sensors has been as follows. First, in order to obtain an optimum response towards Hg2+, we have introduced S-H and thiadiazole groups into the same sensor molecule to strengthen the Hg2+ coordination capacity.15 Second, the receptors were designed to be easily synthesised. The results showed that compounds 1 and 2 (see Scheme 1) displayed a highly selective and sensitive response toward Hg<sup>2+</sup> in neutral aqueous solution.

## **Results and discussion**

Compounds 1 and 2 were synthesised according to literature methods.<sup>16</sup> Compound 1 was prepared by mixing thiosemicarbazide (6.37 g, 0.06 mol) and  $CS_2$  (7.61 g, 0.10 mol) in DMF solution under reflux conditions for 6 h. Then compound 1 (0.67 g, 0.005 mol) and *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.76 g, 0.005 mol) were mixed in absolute ethanol, the solution was refluxed with stirring for 2–3 h, then cooled to room temperature and the solvent was removed by evaporation. Finally, the crude product was purified by recrystallisation from ethanol to give compound **2**. **1:** Yield: 89%, m.p. 235–236 °C (lit. 234–235 °C), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400MHz)  $\delta$  13.21 (s, 1H, S–H), 7.13 (s, 2H, N–H), IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) v: 3334 (N–H), 2925 (S–H), 1059 (C–N);

**2:** Yield: 60%, m.p. 219–221 °C (lit. 219–221 °C), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400MHz) δ 8.81 (s, 1H, CH=N), 7.64 (S, 1H, N–H), 7.99–8.51 (m, 4H, PhH), IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) ν: 1561 (C=N), 3400–3250 (N–H).

The sensing abilities both 1 and 2 toward various metal cations, such as  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}$ ,  $Ag^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ and  $Cu^{2+}$ , were primarily investigated by UV-Vis spectroscopy. Upon adding 2 equiv. of  $Hg^{2+}$  to DMSO solutions of sensor 1, the absorption at 322 nm in the corresponding UV-Vis spectrum decreased sharply, accompanied by a blue shift of 42 nm. To validate the selectivity of 1, the same tests were applied to other cations; no obvious changes were observed (Fig. 1). The binding of sensor 2 with metal cations in DMSO was also studied and a similar result was observed.

The selective response of **1** was investigated by adding various cations to its  $H_2O/DMSO$  (1:1, v/v) solution. Upon addition of 2 equiv. of  $Hg^{2+}$ , sensor **1** showed obvious changes in its UV-Vis spectrum (Fig. 2, in Electronic Supplementary Information, ESI). Other cations did not cause such a change. With the aim of excluding the possibility of these results being due to pH changes, we carried out the same experiments in DMSO/ $H_2O$  (9.5:0.5, v/v), HEPES (10 mM) buffered solutions at pH 7.20 for sensor **1**. A similar spectroscopic variation of the absorption band was found as above for aqueous solutions. Note also that the pH of the solution did not change during these experiments, clearly excluding any pH effects.

To explore further the utility of sensor 1 as an ion-selective chemosensor for  $Hg^{2+}$ , competitive experiments were carried out in the presence of 2.0 equiv. of  $Hg^{2+}$  and 2.0 equiv. of various metal ions in a HEPES-buffered solution of sensor 1. The optical absorbance of sensor 1 with  $Hg^{2+}$  at 283 nm (Fig. 3 in ESI) was not influenced by the subsequent addition



Scheme 1 The synthesis of sensors 1 and 2.



Fig. 1 UV-Vis absorption of sensor 1 (c =  $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>) with various cations in DMSO at room temperature.

of miscellaneous cations, such as  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Ag^+$ , and  $Ca^{2+}$ , except for Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>. Owing to the competitive coordination of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>, the optical absorbance of sensor 1 increased about 20% and 22% upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup>. Thus the sensitivity and selectivity of sensor 1 to Hg<sup>2+</sup> exceed the other metallic cations.

The binding properties of sensors 1 and 2 toward Hg<sup>2+</sup> were studied by UV-Vis titration experiments. It turned out that in DMSO solutions, following the increase of concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, an isosbestic point is clearly observed at 300 nm (Fig. 4), indicating the sensors 1 and 2 reacted with Hg<sup>2+</sup> and formed stable complexes.<sup>17</sup> The association constants *K*a of sensors 1 and 2 toward Hg<sup>2+</sup> were obtained as  $4.4 \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> (R = 0.998) and  $1.0 \times 10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> (R = 0.997), respectively. Taken together, compared with the sensor 1, the recognition abilities of sensor 2 toward Hg<sup>2+</sup> are relatively weak. These results illustrated that 1 was the Hg<sup>2+</sup> specific chemosensor. Furthermore, with the probe concentrations employed in our studies, sensor 1 toward Hg<sup>2+</sup> could be detected at least down to  $8.0 \times 10^{-7}$  M.

To further elucidate the binding mode, <sup>1</sup>H NMR-titration experiments of sensor **1** were conducted in DMSO- $d_6$  (Fig. 5). Before the addition of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts of the S–H<sup>a</sup> and N–H<sup>b</sup> protons on sensor **1** were at  $\delta$  13.20 and 7.13 ppm, respectively. After the addition of 0.2 equiv. of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, the signal peak shifted to  $\delta$  13.21 and 7.21 ppm for the S–H<sup>a</sup> and N–H<sup>b</sup> protons, respectively. With the addition of 0.5 equiv. of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, the peak of the S–H<sup>a</sup> proton was disappeared completely, and the resonance for N–H<sup>b</sup> was shifted to  $\delta$  7.47 ppm. The above results suggested a possible interaction mode between sensor **1** and Hg<sup>2+</sup>, in which Hg<sup>2+</sup> was coordinated with the S of the mercapto group and the N of the thiadiazole.

In conclusion, chemosensors 1 and 2 were synthesized and showed high selectivity and sensitivities to  $Hg^{2+}$  in aqueous solution. There were three good features for these sensors. First, these sensors were very simple and easy to synthesise and showed remarkably high sensitivity and selectivity



**Fig. 4** (a) UV-Vis titration of sensor 1 ( $c = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>) with Hg<sup>2+</sup> (0-0.3equiv.) in DMSO solution. (b) The nonlinear fitting curve of change in absorbance of 1 at 322 nm with respect to amounts of Hg<sup>2+</sup> in DMSO.



Fig. 5 Hg<sup>2+</sup> 1H NMR titration of sensor 1 (10.0 mM) in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>: (a) 1 only, (b) 1+0.2 equiv. of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and (c) 1+0.5 equiv. of Hg<sup>2+</sup>.

toward Hg<sup>2+</sup>. Second, Hg<sup>2+</sup> could be detected at least down to  $8.0 \times 10^{-7}$  M. Third, these sensors could sense Hg<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous solutions, which made it possible to determine Hg<sup>2+</sup> for practical analysis.

This work was supported by the NSFC (No. 20671077) and the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu (2008-1-164)

## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

Figures 2 and 3 have been deposited in the ESI available through stl.publisher.ingentaconnect.com/content/stl/jcr/supp-data.

Received 4 June 2010; accepted 14 September 2010 Paper 1000172 <u>doi: 10.3184/030823410X12876712319282</u> Published online: 24 November 2010

## References

- 1 A.P. Dastoor and Y. Larocque, Atmos. Environ., 2004, 38, 147.
- 2 J. Dong and Z.H. Xv, *Environ*. Sci. Technol., 2009, **43**, 3266.
- 3 L. Trasande and P.J. Landrigan, *Environ. Health. Perspect.*, 2005, **113**, 590.
- 4 P.W. Davidson and G.J. Myers, JAMA-J. Am. Med. Assoc., 1998, 280,701.
- 5 B. Valeur and I. Leray, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **205**, 3.
- 6 R. Kramer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 772.
- 7 Y. Zhou and C.Y. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2010, **12**, 2566.
- 8 M. Zhu and M.J. Yuan, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1481.
- 9 J.H. Soh and K.M.K. Swamy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, 48, 5966.
- 10 Z. Fang and K.Y. Pu, *Macromolecules.*, 2008, **41**, 8380.
- 11 S.J. Liu and H.G. Nie, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 5724.
- 12 Y.M. Zhang and Q. Lin, *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.*, 2009, **137**, 447.
- 13 T.B. Wei and Y. Li, J. Chem. Res., 2009, 11, 677.
- 14 Y.M. Zhang and Q. Lin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 6074.
- 15 M. Raphael and Franzini, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2935.
- 16 Y.G. Wang and L.Cao, Chem. J. Chinese. Univ., 1999, 20,1903.
- 17 B. Valeur and J. Pouget, J. Phys. Chem., 1992, 96, 6545.